Comparative Analysis of five Sorting Algorithms on the basis of Best Case, Average Case, and Worst Case
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ABSTRACT

Sorting is one of the fundamental issues in computer science. Sorting problem gain more popularity, as efficient sorting is more important to optimize other algorithms e.g. searching algorithms. A number of sorting algorithms has been proposed with different constraints e.g. number of iterations (inner loop, outer loop), complexity, and CPU consuming problem. This paper presents a comparison of different sorting algorithms (Sort, Optimized Sort, Selection Sort, Quick Sort, and Merge Sort) with different data sets (small data, medium data, and large data), with Best Case, Average Case, and worst case constraint. All six algorithms are analyzed, implemented, tested, compared and concluded that which algorithm is best for small, average, and large data sets, with all three constraints (best case, average case, and worst case).
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1. INTRODUCTION

An algorithm is a well-defined step by step procedure to solve computational problems. Algorithm takes an input, and provides output. We can also say that algorithm is a tool or a sequence of steps to solve computational problems [1]. To design an algorithm various techniques and methodologies are applied. Sorting algorithms are design to order the data set into ascending or descending orders. Sorting algorithms are very important, as these algorithms are used to design other algorithms e.g. searching algorithms. It is used in many importing applications, since the performance of sorting algorithm is very important issue [2]. Due to this importance a number of sorting algorithms has been presented each with different constraints. Since we can formally define sorting as:

**Input:** A sequence of data set having n numbers of random order data \( A = (a1, a2, a3 \ldots, a(n−1), an) \)

**Output:** A permutation of the input sequence \( A' = (a'1, a'2, a'3 \ldots, a'(n−1), a'n) \), such that \( a'1 \leq a'2 \leq a'3 \leq \ldots \leq a'(n−1) \leq an \)

For example if the given sequence is (59, 41, 31, 41, 26, 58); then the output sequence of sorting algorithm will be (26, 31, 41, 41, 58, 59) [3].

As discussed above sorting is an intermediate and an important part of managing data. Due to their importance a number of sorting algorithms are proposed e.g. bubble sort, selection sort, insertion sort, quick sort, merge sort etc. Some of sorting algorithms are very simple e.g. bubble sort; while other are complex e.g. quick sort and merge sort. Many researchers proposed some enhancement in the above algorithms e.g. enhanced bubble sort, optimized bubble sort, enhanced selection sort, and enhanced quick sort etc. Remember that all sorting algorithms work for a specific environment. Some sorting algorithms works best on small data sets, some works good in a large data set, while others work good in a medium data set. The performance of a sorting algorithm is described by their complexity. Complexity of the algorithm is
described by a standard notation big O as O (n); Where Big O represents the complexity of the algorithm, while n represents the number of elements in the database.

In this paper, a comparison of most used sorting algorithms Bubble sort, Selection sort, Insertion sort, Quick sort, and merge sort are described on the basis of their CPU time, Complexity, and number Inner & Outer loop iterations. The remaining paper is organized as: section 2 describes the criteria for comparison, section 3 describes the algorithms used in this simulation, section 4 describes the results of simulation, and section 5 describes the conclusion and future work.

2. Criteria of comparison:

a. Speed of sorting: Many algorithms have same complexity but not have same speed. The speed will be different in best case, average case, and worst case. Since judge the algorithm on factors best case, average case, and worst case. Speed is also considered when it takes values from external storage devices or main memory [4][5].

b. Memory: some algorithms take more memory to sort, as they create a new array or variables to store sorted elements.

c. Complexity: the effectiveness of an algorithm is directly proportional to its complexity[6]. Sorting algorithms are divided into two main category according to their complexity: the O (n^2) category and O (n * log n) category.

3. Sorting Algorithms:

As described above sorting algorithms are divided into two main categories. The bubble sort, insertion sort, and selection sort falls in O (n^2) category, while quick and merge sort falls in O (n * log n) category. The description of these algorithms is described below.

3.1 Bubble sort: the basic sorting algorithm is bubble sort. It compares two adjacent elements and do swap operation if there is miss order found with repeated steps. This is also called a comparison sorting algorithm [7]. The original bubble sort does more iteration even if the data set is sorted. The complexity of bubble sort is O (n^2) for all cases e.g. best case, worst case, and average case. For this bubble sort is used only for small number of data set, for large and average data sets this algorithm is impractical. The main advantage of bubble sort is its simplicity, and ease of implementation; but the code inefficient as do more iterations [8]. In bubble sort algorithm the number of iterations is same for all cases, since this algorithm is not practical. This algorithm is enhanced in Enhanced Bubble Sort algorithm, discussed next. The bubble sort algorithm is described below:

![Procedurebubble_Sort (Array, size)]

Original bubble sort algorithm is enhanced to reduce the number of repetitions. The complexity of enhanced bubble sort algorithm is same as bubble sort for average and worst case, but in case of best case its complexity becomes O (n), which is better than original bubble sort. Also the inner loop iteration is minimized as we run the inner loop to size-1 times. This algorithm is described below:

![ProcedureE_bubbleSort (Array, size)]

3.2 Enhanced Bubble Sort: Bubble sort is enhanced in [9]. This algorithm works as to find maximum and minimum element in the array, and then swap minimum element with the first element and maximum element with the last element in repeated steps. Remember that at every step decrease the array size by two. The complexity of
Enhanced Bubble Sort algorithm is $O(n \log n)$ for all cases e.g. best case, average case and worst case. The number of swaps is n/2 for all cases but bubble sort uses 0,n/2, and n swaps for each case e.g. best case, average case and worst case respectively. The Enhanced Bubble Sort Algorithm is described below:

**Procedure EnhancedBubbleSort (Array, size, firstindex, lastindex)**

1. if size > 1 then
   A. variables temp = 0,
   B. variables maxcounter = lastindex
   C. variable mincounter = firstindex
   D. variable maximum = Array(lastindex)
   E. variable minimum = Array(firstindex)
      a. for a = firstindex to lastindex step 1
         I. if Array(a) ≥ max then do
            i. assign maximum = Array(a)
            ii. assign maxcounter = a
         II. end of if
      II. if Array(a) < minimum then do
         i. assign minimum = Array(a)
         ii. assign mincounter = a
     IV. end of if
   b. end for (a loop)
   F. if (firstindex==maxcounter) AND (lastindex==mincounter) then
      a. assign Array(firstindex) = minimum
      b. assign Array(lastindex) = maximum
   G. else
      a. if (firstindex==maxcounter) AND (lastindex ≠ mincounter) then do
         I. assign temp := array(lastindex)
         II. assign Array(lastindex) = maximum
         III. assign Array(firstindex) = minimum
         IV. assign Array(maxcounter) = temp
      b. else
         I. if (firstindex ≠ maxcounter) AND (lastindex == mincounter) then do
            i. assign temp = Array(firstindex)
            ii. assign Array (firstindex) = minimum
            iii. assign Array (lastindex) = maximum
            iv. assign Array (maxcounter) = temp
         II. else
            i. assign temp = Array(firstindex)
            ii. assign Array (firstindex) = minimum
            iii. assign Array (mincounter) = temp
            iv. assign temp =
   3. else
      a. return array
   4. end of if

3.3 Selection Sort: this algorithm is the improved version of bubble sort and thus one of the simplest types of sorting algorithms. Selection Sort is a comparison sorting algorithm. This algorithm search the minimum element in the unsorted array or list and swap it to the first unsorted array location, with repeated iteration steps. This algorithm takes more time in finding minimum element in the array list. The complexity of selection sort algorithm is $O(n^2)$ for all best, average and worst cases [10][11]. This algorithm is used for small list, but replaced by insertion sort [8]. Selection sort uses more comparisons but less amount of data moving, since if data set has less key but large data shairing then Selection is sort is best [12].

**Function Selection_Sort (Array, Size)**

1. take Variable i and j
2. take variable minimum and temp
3. for i=0 to Size - 2
   a. assign min = i
   b. for j=i+1 to Size - 1
      i. if Array(j) < Array(minimum)
         ii. assign minimum = j
      III. End of if statement
   c. End of j loop
4. assign temp = Array(i)
5. assign Array(i) = Array(minimum)
6. assign Array(minimum) = temp
7. End of i loop
8. End of function

3.4 Enhanced Selection Sort: this algorithm is proposed by JehadAlnihoud and Rami Mansi in paper [9]. The Enhanced Selection Sort algorithm works as by finding the maximum element in the array and interchange it with the last element of the array. The size of the array is decreased at each
iteration of the loop, which reduces the number of swaps as compare to Selection Sort algorithm. The Selection Sort performs $O(n)$ number of swaps while Enhanced Selection sort swapping is dependent on the number of array elements. In the best case it does not perform any swap, while selection sort performs $O(n)$ number of swaps. Enhanced Selection Sort algorithm is described below:

**Function Enhanced_Selection_Sort(Array, Size)**

A. if size > 1 then
   1. variable index, temp, maximum
   2. assign index = size - 1
   3. assign max = array(index)
   4. for i = 0 to size - 2
      a. if array[i] ≥ maximum then
         i. assign maximum = array[i]
         ii. assign index = i
      b. end if
   5. end i loop
   6. if index ≠ size - 1 then
      a. assign temp = array[size - 1]
      b. assign array[size - 1] = maximum
      c. assign array[index] = temp
   7. end if
   8. assign size = size - 1
   9. return Enhanced_Selection_Sort(array, size)
B. else
   1. return array
C. end if

3.5 Insertion Sort: Insertion sort algorithm is a simple and mostly used algorithm for small and mostly sorted arrays lists. This algorithm uses two same size array lists: one is sorted and one is unsorted. In each step of sorting a minimum element is found at unsorted list and placed it to the sorted list at its proper location [11]. This algorithm uses two arrays since more memory is used, also more time consuming to find minimum element and copy it to new sorted array. The complexity of this algorithm is $O(n)$ for best case and $O(n^2)$ for average and worst cases [10]. This algorithm is relatively used for small and average data sets in place of bubble and selection sort algorithms. Insertion Sort algorithm is twice faster than bubble sort algorithm [8]. The insertion sort algorithm is described below:

**Procedure insertion_sort(array, length)**

1. variable i, j, temporary
2. for i = 1 to length step 1
   A. Assign j = i;
   B. while (j > 0 && array[j - 1] > array[j]) do
      a. Assign temporary = array[j]
      b. Assign array[j] = array[j - 1]
      c. Assign array[j - 1] = temporary
      d. Assign j=j-1
   C. end of while loop
3. end of for loop

3.6 Quick Sort: Quick sort is a divide and conqueror algorithm uses recursion to sort the data [13]. It is also called a comparison sort developed by Tony Hoar [6][14]. It uses a programmer selected pivot to sort the data list. Quick Sort Algorithm is divided into two parts: the first part is a procedure QUICK to use the reduction steps of the algorithm, while second part uses the QUICK procedure to sort the elements in the array list [13]. The complexity of quick sort in best and average cases is $O(n \log n)$ and $O(n^2)$ in worst case [10]. The average case time complexity is $O(n \log n)$ which is a bit costly if used for large data sets, also in worst case complexity is $O(n^2)$ which make it impractical for large worst data sets [15]. The Quick Sort algorithm is described below:

**Procedure quickSort(array[],left, right)**

1. variable i, j, pivot
2. assign i=left
3. assign j=right
4. assign pivot = array[(i+j)/2]  // partition
5. while i <= j do
   A. while array[i] < pivot do
      a. assign i=i+1
   B. end of while
   C. while array[j] > pivot do
      a. assign j=j-1
      b. end of while
   D. if i <= j then do
      a. variable temp
      b. assign temp = array[i]
      c. assign array[i] = array[j]
      d. assign array[j] = temp
      e. assign i=i+1
      f. assign j=j-1
   E. end of if
6. end of while  // recursion
7. if left < j then do
   A. quickSort(input, left, j)
8. if i < right then do
   A. quickSort(input, i, right)
3.7 Enhanced Quick Sort: Quick Sort is enhanced by Rami Massi in paper [16]. Enhanced Quick Sort divide original array in three temporary arrays: the positive array (pos_array), negative array (neg_array), and frequent array (freq-array). This algorithm uses three procedures: Scan, Move, and Sort. As compare to quick sort, enhanced quick sort is faster in case of large lists. The complexity of enhanced quick sort is $O(n)$ in all cases e.g. best case, average case, and worst case. The algorithms of scan, move and sort procedure of enhanced quick sort are described below:

**Procedure Scan(array, size)**

1. if size > 1 then
   A. variable var, maximum, minimum, No_of_Pos, No_of_Neg
   B. assign max= array(0)
   C. assign min: = array(0)
   D. assign No_of_Pos = 0
   E. assign N_of_Neg = 0
   F. for a = 0 to size - 1 step 1
      a. if array(var) > maximum then do
         i. assign max = array(var)
      b. else
         i. assign minimum = array(var)
      c. end of if
      d. if array(a) ≥ 0 then do
         i. assign No_of_Pos = No_of_Pos + 1
      e. Else
         i. Assign No_of_Neg = No_of_Neg + 1
      f. end of if
   G. end of for
   H. if minimum ≠ maximum then do
      a. Move(array, size, No_of_Pos, No_of_Neg, maximum, minimum)
   i. end of if
2. end of if

**Procedure Move(array, size, No_of_Pos, No_of_Neg, maximum, minimum)**

1. variable b,c,d,i
2. assign i=0
3. create a new array: Frequent_Array[size] and initialize by the value (minimum-1)
4. if No_of_Pos > 0 then do
   A. create a new array: Positive_Array[maximum+1]
   B. for b=0 to maximum step 1
      a. assign Positive_Array(b) = minimum-1
   C. end of for
5. end of if
6. if No_of_Neg > 0 then do
   A. create a new array: Negative_Array[|minimum|+1]
   B. for c=0 to |minimum|+1 step 1
      a. assign Negative_Array(c) = minimum-1
   C. end of for
7. end of if
8. for d= 0 to size-1 step 1
   A. if array(d) ≥ 0 then do
      a. if Positive_Array(array(d)) == minimum-1 then do
         i. assign Positive_Array(array(d)) = array(d)
      b. Else
         i. Assign Frequent_Array(i)= array(d)
         ii. Assign i= i+1
      c. end of if
   B. Else
      a. if Negative_Array(array(d)) == minimum-1 then do
         i. Negative_Array(array(d)) = array(d)
      b. Else
         i. Assign Frequent_Array(i)= array(d)
         ii. Assign i= i+1
      c. end of if
   a. end of if
9. end of for
10. Sort(array, Negative_Array, Positive_Array, Frequent_Array,..., No_of_Neg, No_of_Pos, maximum, minimum, i)

**Procedure Sort(array, Negative_Array, ..., Positive_Array, Frequent_Array,..., No_of_Neg, No_of_Pos, maximum, minimum, i)**

1. variable index,x,y
2. assign index=0
3. if No_of_Neg > 0 then do
   A. for x= |minimum| downto 0 do
      a. if Negative_Array(x) ≠ minimum-1 then do
         i. assign array(index)= Negative_Array(x)
         ii. assign index= index+1
         iii. for y= 0 to i step 1
            a. if Frequent_Array(y)== array(index-1) then
               i. assign array(index)= Frequent_Array(y)
               ii. assign index= index+1
            b. end of if
            c. end of for
         v. end of if
   B. end of for
4. end of if
5. if No_of_Pos > 0 then do
   A. for x= 0 to maximum do
      a. if Positive_Array(x) ≠ minimum-1 then do
         i. assign array(index)= Positive_Array(x)
         ii. assign index= index+1
         iii. for y= 0 to i step 1
            a. if Frequent_Array(y)== array(index-1) then
               i. assign array(index)= Frequent_Array(y)
               ii. assign index= index+1
            b. end of if
            c. end of for
         v. end of if
      b. end of if
   B. end of for
6. end of if
3.8 Merge Sort: this is also a divide and conqueror algorithm, with advantage of ease of merging lists with new sorted lists. The worst case complexity of merge sort is $O(n \log n)$, since could be used for large and worst data sets. Merge sort uses the following three steps to sort an array list [8]:

1. **Divide:** if size of array is greater than 1, then split it into two equal half size sub arrays.
2. **Conquer:** sort both sub arrays by recursion.
3. **Merging:** Combine both sorted sub arrays into original size array. This will gives a complete sorted array.

Merge sort is more suitable for large and worst case, but it uses more memory as compare to other divide and conqueror algorithms. Merge sort algorithm is described below:

```
Procedure mergesort(a, b, low, high)
1. variable pivot
2. if low<high then do
   A. Assign pivot=(low+high)/2
   B. mergesort(a,b,low,pivot)
   C. mergesort(a,b,pivot+1,high)
   D. merge(a,b,low,pivot,high)
3. end of if
```

```
Procedure merge(a,b,low,pivot,high)
1. variable h,i,j,k;
2. assign h=low
3. assign i=low
4. assign j=pivot+1;
5. while((h<=pivot)&&(j<=high)) do
   A. if(a[h]<=a[j]) then do
      a. assign b[i]=a[h]
      b. assign h=h+1
   B. else
      a. assign b[i]=a[j]
      b. assign j=j+1
   C. end of if
   D. assign i=i+1
7. end of while
8. if(h>pivot) then do
   A. for k=j to high step 1
      a. assign b[i]=a[k]
      b. assign i=i+1
9. else
   A. for k=h to pivot step 1
      a. assign b[i]=a[k]
      b. assign i=i+1
   B. end of for
10. end of if
11. for k=low to high step 1
    A. assign a[k]=b[k]
```

The complexity of all above sorting algorithms is described in table 1.1.

4. Results: The simulation results for Comparisons of Sorting Algorithms are run on Asus EEEPC1001p. Simulation parameters for this simulation are described in table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Asus Eee PC 1001p, dual core, 1.67 processor, 1 GB Ram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compiler</td>
<td>Visual C++ 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>Best Case, Average Case, Worst Case (Small Data set, Medium data set, Large data set)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Case</td>
<td>Array of 5 elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average data set</td>
<td>Array of 100 elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large data set</td>
<td>Array of 1000 elements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Implementation Parameters

![Graph showing Inner Loop Number of Iterations](image)

Fig 1.2: Inner Loop Number of Iterations

The results are described as number of inner loop iterations, number of Outer loop Iterations, and CPU processing time. The number of inner loop iterations in all cases and all data sets are described in table 1.3. Enhanced Selection sort gives best results for inner loop iteration in all cases, while Bubble Sort gives worst results for number of inner loop iterations. Enhanced Bubble Sort and Enhanced Selection sort give double performance in case of...
inner loop iteration as compare to bubble sort algorithm; while Optimized bubble sort performance is good as compare to enhanced bubble sort. Merge Sort, Quick sort and Enhanced Quick Sort gives good results for all cases, while quick sort results are good as compare to enhanced quick sort algorithm. Insertion sort results are one half of enhanced bubble sort, optimized bubble sort, and selection sort. Graphical representations of all these results are also shown for each case (best case, average case, and worst case) in fig 1.2.

According to operating system architecture we cannot predict the exact CPU processing time of any algorithm. As some system uses parallel processing and multithreading processing. Although CPU Processing time of each algorithm in each cases are shown in table 1.5, we use ASUS EEE PC 1001p mini notebook for implementation of each algorithm. Our results shows that enhanced selection sort and enhanced quick sort take less amount of time for all cases and data sets. Original bubble sort in average takes more time than all other algorithms. The graphs of CPU Processing time is shown in figure 1.4.

5. Conclusion

In this paper the comparison of five basic and 4 enhanced sorting algorithms are described. The same number of elements is used for each data set e.g. five elements for small data set, 100 elements for medium data set and 1000 elements for large data set. As discussed in the introduction sections that each sorting has their own advantages and disadvantages in terms of CPU processing time,
Complexity, number of Inner/Outer loops iteration, and the most popular the best case, average case, and worst case performance for small, medium and large data sets. According to the literature review and simulation results it is obtained that for large data sets and worst conditions Enhanced Quick Sort is best choice, Quick sort and Merge sort are may also used for large data sets. For small data sets and worst condition enhanced selection Sort and Quick Sort are good choice. Bubble sort is good only for small and best case, while Enhanced Bubble Sort is good for both small &average data sets and Worst conditions. Insertion Sort is good for small worst condition as compare to bubble sort. Keep this paper in mind, a new sorting algorithm will be design for worst case large data sets in the near future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Best Case</th>
<th>Average Case</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Data Set()</td>
<td>Average Data Set</td>
<td>Large Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubble Sort</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E BS</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced BS</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Sort</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
<td>O(n^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced SS</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion Sort</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Sort</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced QS</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
<td>O(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Sort</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
<td>O(nlogn)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1: Complexity of sorting algorithms [8][9][10][15][16]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Best Case</th>
<th>Average Case</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Data Set()</td>
<td>Average Data Set</td>
<td>Large Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubble Sort</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>99900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced BS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4950</td>
<td>49950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized BS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4789</td>
<td>495584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Sort</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4950</td>
<td>49950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced SS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Sort</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>6982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced QS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>8143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion Sort</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2141</td>
<td>258994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Sort</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>8735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Inner Loop Number of Iterations
### Outer Loop Iterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Best Case</th>
<th>Average Case</th>
<th>Worst Case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small Data Set()</td>
<td>Average Data Set</td>
<td>Large Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubble Sort</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E BS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced SS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Sort</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>2702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced QS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion Sort</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Sort</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.4: Outer Loop Number of Iteration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithms</th>
<th>Small Data Set()</th>
<th>Average Data Set</th>
<th>Large Data Set</th>
<th>Small Data Set()</th>
<th>Average Data Set</th>
<th>Large Data Set</th>
<th>Small Data Set()</th>
<th>Average Data Set</th>
<th>Large Data Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bubble Sort</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E BS</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced BS</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Sort</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced SS</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Sort</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced QS</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion Sort</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merge Sort</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.5: CPU Processing Time Results
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